

REMARKS

Reconsideration in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

The rejection of the claims is again traversed and should be withdrawn. While the Examiner has cited case law as to why references can be combined, etc., there are still no teachings in any of the prior art to combine the references or modify the references to reach Applicant's claimed invention, nor is there any motivation in any of the references to make such modifications or combinations.

There is no teaching in the combination of references of Maguire in view of Pfister to combine the two references, nor is there a reference suggesting the combination of the two references. While it is considered obvious by the Examiner, if it were to be so obvious, then the Examiner would obviously have a teaching of the obviousness.

Therefore, it is requested that the rejection of claims 14-16 now be withdrawn.

With regard to new claim 17, this is a narrow claim further claiming the instant invention.

If there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this patent application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel so that such issues may be resolved expeditiously.

Reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

HUGH D. JAEGER, P.A.

By Hugh D. Jaeger

Hugh D. Jaeger
Registration No. 27,270
1000 Superior Blvd., Suite 302
Wayzata, MN 55391-1873
Telephone: 952-475-1880
Facsimile: 952-475-2930

08/18/2004

MYFILES\PLEAD\PL4602